

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.N.KRISHNAN

TUESDAY, THE 6TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 15TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 32878 of 2007(L)

LAR.100/2002 of PRINCIPAL SUB COURT,ALAPPUZHA

.....

PETITIONER:

SINIMOL, D/O.BHAGEERATHI,
VATTATHARAYIL, PALLIPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.G.GOPAKUMAR (CHERTHALA)

RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE SPECIAL
TAHASILDAR (LA), INDUSTRIAL GROWTH CENTRE,
CHERTHALA.

2. MANAGING DIRECTOR, K.S.I.D.C.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.V.JAYAPRADEEP, SC, KSIDC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 06/11/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

M.N.KRISHNAN, J.

W.P.(C). NO. 32878 OF 2007

Dated this the 6th day of November, 2007

J U D G M E N T

This writ petition is filed to quash Exts.P3 and P4 orders and allow the petitioner to withdraw the amount deposited by the 1st respondent. This court by judgment in W.P.(C) No.21629/07 dated 16.7.07 directed that the amount can be withdrawn by the petitioner on furnishing security for 25% of the amount, which is already deposited. This court also granted two weeks time for furnishing security. Petitioner did not furnish the security within the stipulated time of two weeks. Therefore, the court below held that it has no power to extend the time and as the security is furnished beyond the time, it dismissed the application.

2. It is true that there are laches on the part of the petitioner. But it can be seen that the delay is hardly about 10 days. Therefore, I direct the court below to accept the security, if it is sufficient, after hearing the other side and then disburse the amount in accordance with the decision rendered in the writ petition referred to above.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

M.N.KRISHNAN, JUDGE

vps

